

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/538,667	06/10/2005	Hidenori Kato	040373-0361	7320
22428 7590 12/09/2008 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP			EXAMINER	
SUITE 500			TORRES, MARCOS L	
3000 K STREET NW WASHINGTON, DC 20007			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
······································	11, DC 20007		2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/538,667 KATO, HIDENORI Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MAPCOS L TORRES

	WWW.COC. FORKEC
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address or Reply
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Store of time may be available under the provisions of 127 FT. 133(a). In no event, however, may a reply be simily field SIX (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The provision of 127 FT. 133(a) in the
Status	
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 August 2008.
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) <u>24-26</u> is/are rejected.
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicati	on Papers
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 29 August 2008 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
,	ree the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachmen	t(s)
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Attachment(9)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	_
Paper No(s)/Mail Date .	6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/538,667 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments, see pages 4-5, filed 8-29-08, with respect to claims 1-23 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-23 has been withdrawn.
- Rejection for claims 24-26 are maintained since they do not contain the same limitations presented in the arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson 6912390 in view of Bielland 6879820.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,667

Art Unit: 2617

As to claim 24, Anderson discloses user-plane processing device included in a radio access network that has a radio network controller for controlling at least one radio base station, said radio network controller being physically divided into a device that deals with the process of a control plane and at least one device that performs the process of a user-plane under control of said device that deals with the process of said control plane, and said user-plane processing device being adapted to take charge of the process of said user plane, (see col. 12, line 50 - col. 13, line 29; col. 15, lines 31-61; col. 9, lines 1-35; col. 10, lines 37-63). Anderson does not specifically disclose said user-plane processing device acquires cell information from said device that takes partial charge of the process of said control plane. In an analogous art, Bjelland disclose in that said user-plane processing device acquires cell information from said device that takes partial charge of the process of said control plane (see col. 10, lines 10-32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills in the art at the time of the invention to combine these teachings for the simple purpose of facilitating the network communication.

As to claim 25, Anderson discloses a method of setting cell information (see col. 17, lines 29-59). Anderson does not disclose wherein said user-plane processing device requests said information when its startup process is completed in the second step. In an analogous art, Bjelland discloses wherein said user-plane processing device requests said information when its startup process is completed in the second step (see col. 10, lines 10-32). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skills

Application/Control Number: 10/538,667

Art Unit: 2617

in the art at the time of the invention to combine these teachings for the simple purpose of facilitating the network communication.

As to claim 26, Anderson discloses a method of setting cell information wherein said cell information includes the information to be set with regard to every cell to be covered by said radio base station (see col. 8, lines 43-59).

Allowable Subject Matter

- Claims 1-23 are allowed.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: examiner agrees with applicant's arguments page 4-5.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MARCOS L. TORRES whose telephone number is (571)272-7926. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-252-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/538,667 Page 5

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Marcos L Torres/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/M. L. T./ Examiner, Art Unit 2617

> /George Eng/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617